

A COHOMOLOGICAL PROPERTY OF LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS

ALAN ADOLPHSON AND STEVEN SPERBER

ABSTRACT. The method of Lagrange multipliers relates the critical points of a given function f to the critical points of an auxiliary function F . We establish a cohomological relationship between f and F and use it, in conjunction with the Eagon-Northcott complex, to compute the sum of the Milnor numbers of the critical points in certain situations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let U be an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n and let $f, f_1, \dots, f_r : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be continuously differentiable functions on U . Let $Y \subseteq U$ be the subset defined by $f_1 = \dots = f_r = 0$ and suppose that the matrix $(\partial f_i / \partial x_j)_{\substack{i=1, \dots, r \\ j=1, \dots, n}}$ has rank r at every point of Y . The usual theorem of Lagrange multipliers says that $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Y$ is a critical point of $f|_Y$ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_r) \in \mathbf{R}^r$ such that $(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}) \in U \times \mathbf{R}^r$ is a critical point of the auxiliary function $F = f + \sum_{i=1}^r y_i f_i : U \times \mathbf{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. The point \mathbf{b} is unique when it exists.

We establish a closer relation between f and F for algebraic varieties over an arbitrary field K . Let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ be a smooth affine K -scheme of finite type, purely of dimension n , let $f, f_1, \dots, f_r \in A$ and put $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r) \subseteq A$. Put $B = A/I$ and let $Y = \text{Spec}(B)$, a closed subscheme of X . We assume that Y is a smooth K -scheme, purely of codimension r in X . We write \bar{f} for the image of $f \in A$ under the natural map $A \rightarrow B$. Let y_1, \dots, y_r be indeterminates and consider $X \times_K \mathbf{A}^r = \text{Spec}(A[y_1, \dots, y_r])$. We shall write $A[y]$ for $A[y_1, \dots, y_r]$. Put $F = f + \sum_{i=1}^r y_i f_i \in A[y]$. Let $\Omega_{B/K}^k$ (resp. $\Omega_{A[y]/K}^k$) be the module of differential k -forms of B (resp. $A[y]$) over K . Let $d_{B/K} \bar{f} \in \Omega_{B/K}^1$ and $d_{A[y]/K} F \in \Omega_{A[y]/K}^1$ be the exterior derivatives of \bar{f} and F , respectively. We consider the complexes $(\Omega_{B/K}^\bullet, \phi_{\bar{f}})$ and $(\Omega_{A[y]/K}^\bullet, \phi_F)$, where $\phi_{\bar{f}} : \Omega_{B/K}^k \rightarrow \Omega_{B/K}^{k+1}$ is the map defined by

$$\phi_{\bar{f}}(\omega) = d_{B/K} \bar{f} \wedge \omega$$

and $\phi_F : \Omega_{A[y]/K}^k \rightarrow \Omega_{A[y]/K}^{k+1}$ is the map defined by

$$\phi_F(\omega) = d_{A[y]/K} F \wedge \omega.$$

The cohomology of these complexes is supported on the sets of critical points of \bar{f} and F , respectively. The purpose of this note is to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *With the above notation and hypotheses, there are A -module isomorphisms for all i*

$$H^i(\Omega_{B/K}^\bullet, \phi_{\bar{f}}) \simeq H^{i+2r}(\Omega_{A[y]/K}^\bullet, \phi_F).$$

The first author was supported in part by NSA Grant #MDA904-97-1-0068.

The cohomology group $H^{n-r}(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_f)$ plays an important role. For example, if \bar{f} has only isolated critical points on Y , then $H^{n-r}(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_{\bar{f}})$ is a finite-dimensional K -vector space whose dimension equals the sum of the Milnor numbers of the critical points of \bar{f} on Y . In this case, $H^i(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_{\bar{f}}) = 0$ for all $i \neq n-r$. To see this, since the assertion is local, we may assume that $\Omega_{B/K}^1$ is a free B -module of rank $n-r$. We may then choose derivations $D_1, \dots, D_{n-r} \in \text{Der}_K(B)$ that form a basis for $\text{Der}_K(B)$ as B -module and identify $(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_{\bar{f}})$ with the cohomological Koszul complex on B defined by $D_1\bar{f}, \dots, D_{n-r}\bar{f}$. In particular,

$$H^{n-r}(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_{\bar{f}}) \simeq B/(D_1\bar{f}, \dots, D_{n-r}\bar{f}).$$

Since this is assumed to be finite-dimensional, the ideal $(D_1\bar{f}, \dots, D_{n-r}\bar{f})$ of B has height $n-r$, therefore has depth $n-r$ as well ($\text{Spec}(B)$ smooth implies in particular that B is Cohen-Macaulay). The depth sensitivity of the Koszul complex [5, Theorem 16.8 and Corollary] then implies that all its cohomology in degree $< n-r$ vanishes (and that $D_1\bar{f}, \dots, D_{n-r}\bar{f}$ form a regular sequence in B).

Let $\Omega_{A/K}^k$ be the module of differential k -forms of A over K and let $d_{A/K} : \Omega_{A/K}^k \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{k+1}$ be exterior differentiation. As a corollary of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we shall obtain the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, there is an isomorphism of B -modules*

$$H^{n-r}(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_f) \simeq \left(\Omega_{A/K}^n / (d_{A/K}f \wedge d_{A/K}f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_{A/K}f_r \wedge \Omega_{A/K}^{n-r-1}) \right) \bigotimes_A B.$$

We write out this isomorphism in a special case. Let $X = \mathbf{A}^n$, so that A is the polynomial ring $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $f, f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let J be the ideal of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ -minors of the matrix

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \partial f_1 / \partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f_1 / \partial x_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \partial f_r / \partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f_r / \partial x_n \\ \partial f / \partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f / \partial x_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Corollary 1.4. *If $f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ define a smooth complete intersection in \mathbf{A}^n , then*

$$H^{n-r}(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_{\bar{f}}) \simeq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(I + J).$$

Again assume $f, f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let $d_i = \deg f_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, $d_{r+1} = \deg f$, and denote by $f_i^{(d_i)}$ (resp. $f^{(d_{r+1})}$) the homogeneous part of f_i (resp. of f) of highest degree. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, Let \tilde{f}_i be the homogenization of f_i , i. e.,

$$\tilde{f}_i = x_0^{d_i} f_i(x_1/x_0, \dots, x_n/x_0) \in K[x_0, \dots, x_n].$$

Using Corollary 1.4 and the Eagon-Northcott complex[2], we shall prove the following result, which was suggested by a theorem of Katz[4, Théorème 5.4.1].

Proposition 1.5. *Suppose that $\tilde{f}_1 = \dots = \tilde{f}_r = 0$ defines a smooth complete intersection in \mathbf{P}^n that intersects the hyperplane $x_0 = 0$ transversally and that $f_1^{(d_1)} = \dots = f_r^{(d_r)} = f^{(d_{r+1})} = 0$ defines a smooth complete intersection in \mathbf{P}^{n-1} . If $\text{char}(K) > 0$, we assume also that $(d_{r+1}, \text{char}(K)) = 1$. Then \bar{f} has only isolated critical points on the variety $Y \subseteq \mathbf{A}^n$ defined by $f_1 = \dots = f_r = 0$ and*

$\dim_K H^{n-r}(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_f)$ equals the coefficient of t^{n-r} in the power series expansion at $t = 0$ of the rational function

$$\frac{d_1 \cdots d_r (1-t)^n}{\prod_{i=1}^{r+1} (1-d_i t)}.$$

2. AN INTERMEDIATE COMPLEX

We reduce Theorem 1.1 to a related statement. Keeping our hypotheses on X and Y , we shall express the complex $(\Omega_{A[y]/K}, \phi_F)$ as the total complex associated to a certain double complex and show that the vertical cohomology of this double complex vanishes except in degree r . The intermediate complex referred to in the title of this section will be the horizontal complex associated to this single nonvanishing vertical cohomology group.

We write $K[y]$ for $K[y_1, \dots, y_r]$. Let

$$d_1 : \Omega_{A[y]/K[y]}^k \rightarrow \Omega_{A[y]/K[y]}^{k+1}$$

and

$$d_2 : \Omega_{A[y]/A}^k \rightarrow \Omega_{A[y]/A}^{k+1}$$

be the exterior derivatives. For $p, q \geq 0$ put

$$C^{p,q} = \Omega_{A[y]/K[y]}^p \bigotimes_{A[y]} \Omega_{A[y]/A}^q.$$

We have

$$(2.1) \quad \Omega_{A[y]/K}^k \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=k} C^{p,q}.$$

Define $\delta_1 : C^{p,q} \rightarrow C^{p+1,q}$ and $\delta_2 : C^{p,q} \rightarrow C^{p,q+1}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(\omega \otimes \omega') &= (d_1 F \wedge \omega) \otimes \omega' \\ \delta_2(\omega \otimes \omega') &= (-1)^p (\omega \otimes (d_2 F \wedge \omega')). \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check from (2.1) and these definitions that $(\Omega_{A[y]/K}, \phi_F)$ is the total complex of the double complex $\{C^{p,q}\}$.

Let $\phi'_F : \Omega_{A[y]/A}^k \rightarrow \Omega_{A[y]/A}^{k+1}$ be defined by

$$\phi'_F(\omega') = d_2 F \wedge \omega'.$$

In coordinate form, we have

$$d_2 F = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} dy_i = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i dy_i,$$

so the complex $(\Omega_{A[y]/A}, \phi'_F)$ is isomorphic to the (cohomological) Koszul complex on $A[y]$ defined by f_1, \dots, f_r . This Koszul complex decomposes into a direct sum of copies (indexed by the monomials in y_1, \dots, y_r) of the Koszul complex on A defined by f_1, \dots, f_r . We denote this latter Koszul complex by $\text{Kos}(A; f_1, \dots, f_r)$. Our hypothesis that Y is a smooth complete intersection defined by f_1, \dots, f_r implies that f_1, \dots, f_r form a regular sequence in the local ring of X at any point of Y . This gives the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *The cohomology of the complex $\text{Kos}(A; f_1, \dots, f_r)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(\text{Kos}(A; f_1, \dots, f_r)) &= 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq r, \\ H^r(\text{Kos}(A; f_1, \dots, f_r)) &= B. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the remarks preceding the lemma, we get the following.

Corollary 2.3. *The cohomology of the complex $(\Omega_{A[y]/A}, \phi'_F)$ is given by*

$$(2.4) \quad H^i(\Omega_{A[y]/A}, \phi'_F) = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq r,$$

$$(2.5) \quad H^r(\Omega_{A[y]/A}, \phi'_F) = B[y_1, \dots, y_r].$$

Equation (2.4) says that the vertical cohomology of the double complex $\{C^{p,q}\}$ vanishes except in degree r . Equation (2.5) and standard results in homological algebra relating the vertical cohomology of a double complex to the cohomology of its associated total complex then imply that

$$(2.6) \quad H^{i+r}(\Omega_{A[y]/K}, \phi_F) \simeq H^i\left(\Omega_{A[y]/K[y]} \bigotimes_{A[y]} B[y], \bar{\delta}_1\right)$$

for all i , where $\bar{\delta}_1$ is the map induced by δ_1 .

We have isomorphisms

$$(2.7) \quad \Omega_{A[y]/K[y]}^k \bigotimes_{A[y]} B[y] \simeq \Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y]$$

for all k . Let $d_{A/K} : \Omega_{A/K}^k \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{k+1}$ be the exterior derivative. By abuse of notation, we denote by $d_{A/K}F$ the element

$$(2.8) \quad d_{A/K}F = d_{A/K}f \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r d_{A/K}f_i \otimes y_i \in \Omega_{A/K}^1 \bigotimes_A B[y].$$

There is a canonical map

$$\left(\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y]\right) \bigotimes_{B[y]} \left(\Omega_{A/K}^l \bigotimes_A B[y]\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{k+l} \bigotimes_A B[y]$$

that sends $(\omega_1 \otimes \alpha_1) \otimes (\omega_2 \otimes \alpha_2)$ to $(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \otimes (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)$. We denote by

$$(\omega_1 \otimes \alpha_1) \wedge (\omega_2 \otimes \alpha_2)$$

the image of $(\omega_1 \otimes \alpha_1) \otimes (\omega_2 \otimes \alpha_2)$ under this map. Define $\tilde{\phi}_F : \Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y] \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{k+1} \bigotimes_A B[y]$ by

$$\tilde{\phi}_F(\omega \otimes \alpha) = d_{A/K}F \wedge (\omega \otimes \alpha).$$

It is straightforward to check that under the isomorphism (2.7), the map $\bar{\delta}_1$ on the left-hand side is identified with the map $\tilde{\phi}_F$ on the right-hand side. Thus (2.6) gives isomorphisms for all i

$$(2.9) \quad H^{i+r}(\Omega_{A[y]/K}, \phi_F) \simeq H^i(\Omega_{A/K} \bigotimes_A B[y], \tilde{\phi}_F).$$

The main effort in this paper will be devoted to proving the following.

Theorem 2.10. *There is an injective quasi-isomorphism of complexes of A -modules*

$$(\Omega_{B/K}[-r], \phi_{\bar{f}}) \hookrightarrow (\Omega_{A/K} \bigotimes_A B[y], \tilde{\phi}_F),$$

where $\Omega_{B/K}[-r]$ is the complex with $\Omega_{B/K}^i[-r] = \Omega_{B/K}^{i-r}$. In particular, there are isomorphisms for all i

$$H^{i-r}(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_{\bar{f}}) \simeq H^i(\Omega_{A/K} \bigotimes_A B[y], \tilde{\phi}_F).$$

Theorem 1.1 clearly follows from Theorem 2.10 and equation (2.9). The proof of Theorem 2.10 will be carried out in sections 3 and 4. In section 3, we define an isomorphism of $(\Omega_{B/K}[-r], \phi_{\bar{f}})$ with a subcomplex L of $(\Omega_{A/K} \bigotimes_A B[y], \tilde{\phi}_F)$. In section 4, we show that the inclusion of L into $\Omega_{A/K} \bigotimes_A B[y]$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.10

We begin by using the degree in the y -variables to construct an increasing filtration on the complex $(\Omega_{A/K} \bigotimes_A B[y], \tilde{\phi}_F)$. Let $F_d B[y]$ be the B -module of all polynomials of degree $\leq d$ in y_1, \dots, y_r . We define the filtration F on $\Omega_{A/K} \bigotimes_A B[y]$ by setting

$$F_d(\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y]) = \Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A F_{d+k} B[y].$$

By (2.8) we see that

$$\tilde{\phi}_F \left(F_d(\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y]) \right) \subseteq F_d(\Omega_{A/K}^{k+1} \bigotimes_A B[y]),$$

hence we have a filtered complex. Since $F_d B[y] = 0$ for $d < 0$, we have

$$F_d(\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y]) = 0 \quad \text{for } d < -k.$$

Furthermore, $F_0 B[y] = B$, so we make the identification

$$(3.1) \quad F_{-k}(\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y]) = \Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B.$$

We define a map

$$\Phi : \Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{k+r} \bigotimes_A B$$

by the formula

$$\Phi(\xi) = (-1)^{kr} (d_{A/K} f_1 \otimes 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (d_{A/K} f_r \otimes 1) \wedge \xi$$

for $\xi \in \Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B$.

Lemma 3.2. $\ker \Phi = \sum_{i=1}^r (d_{A/K} f_i \otimes 1) \wedge (\Omega_{A/K}^{k-1} \bigotimes_A B)$.

Proof. It suffices to check equality locally, so we may assume that $\Omega_{A/K}^1$ is a free A -module, of rank n . Then $\Omega_{A/K}^1 \bigotimes_A B$ is a free B -module of rank n and

$$\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B \simeq \bigwedge^k (\Omega_{A/K}^1 \bigotimes_A B).$$

We are thus in the situation of Saito[6]. The smooth complete intersection hypothesis implies that the ideal of B generated by the coefficients of $(d_{A/K}f_1 \otimes 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (d_{A/K}f_r \otimes 1)$ relative to the basis of $\Omega_{A/K}^r \otimes_A B$ obtained by taking r -fold exterior products of a basis of $\Omega_{A/K}^1 \otimes_A B$ is the unit ideal. The desired conclusion then follows from part (i) of the theorem of [6].

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$(\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B) / \ker \Phi \simeq \left(\Omega_{A/K}^k / \sum_{i=1}^r (d_{A/K}f_i \wedge \Omega_{A/K}^{k-1}) \right) \bigotimes_A B.$$

By a standard result, this is just $\Omega_{B/K}^k$. Using the identification (3.1) (with k replaced by $k+r$), we see that Φ induces an imbedding

$$\bar{\Phi} : \Omega_{B/K}^k \hookrightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{k+r} \bigotimes_A B[y]$$

with image in $F_{-k-r}(\Omega_{A/K}^{k+r} \bigotimes_A B[y])$. Equation (2.8) implies that

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\phi}_F(\Phi(\xi)) = (d_{A/K}f \otimes 1) \wedge \Phi(\xi)$$

for $\xi \in \Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B$, from which it is easily seen that $\bar{\Phi}$ is a map of complexes.

Equation (3.3) gives additional information. Define a subcomplex $(L^\cdot, \tilde{\phi}_F)$ of $(\Omega_{A/K}^\cdot \bigotimes_A B[y], \tilde{\phi}_F)$ by setting

$$L^k = \{\xi \in F_{-k}(\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y]) \mid \tilde{\phi}_F(\xi) \in F_{-k-1}(\Omega_{A/K}^{k+1} \bigotimes_A B[y])\}.$$

Proposition 3.4. *The map $\bar{\Phi}$ is an isomorphism of complexes from $(\Omega_{B/K}^\cdot[-r], \phi_{\bar{F}})$ onto $(L^\cdot, \tilde{\phi}_F)$.*

Proof. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{B/K}^{k-r}$. Then clearly $\bar{\Phi}(\omega) \in F_{-k}(\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y])$ and, by (3.3), $\bar{\Phi}(\omega) \in L^k$. Thus $\bar{\Phi}$ is an injective homomorphism of complexes whose image is contained in L^\cdot . It only remains to prove that $L^k \subseteq \bar{\Phi}(\Omega_{B/K}^{k-r})$ for all k .

Let $\xi \in F_{-k}(\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y])$. We may write

$$\xi = \sum_j \omega_j \otimes b_j$$

with $\omega_j \in \Omega_{A/K}^k$ and $b_j \in B$. We have

$$d_{A/K}f \wedge \xi = \sum_j (d_{A/K}f \wedge \omega_j) \otimes b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_j (d_{A/K}f_i \wedge \omega_j) \otimes b_j y_i \right).$$

Since $\Omega_{A/K}^{k+1} \bigotimes_A B[y]$ is locally a free $B[y]$ -module, $\tilde{\phi}_F(\xi) \in F_{-k-1}(\Omega_{A/K}^{k+1} \bigotimes_A B[y])$ if and only if

$$\sum_j (d_{A/K}f_i \wedge \omega_j) \otimes b_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r,$$

i. e., $\xi \in L^k$ if and only if $(d_{A/K}f_i \otimes 1) \wedge \xi = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Thus the proof will be completed by the following result.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $\xi \in \Omega_{A/K}^k \otimes_A B$ satisfies*

$$(d_{A/K} f_i \otimes 1) \wedge \xi = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Then $\xi \in \text{im } \Phi$.

Proof. It suffices to check the condition locally, i. e., to show that for any maximal ideal $\bar{\mathbf{m}}$ of B , if

$$(3.6) \quad (d_{A/K} f_i \otimes 1)_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} \wedge \xi_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r,$$

then $\xi_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} \in \text{im } \Phi_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}$. Let \mathbf{m} be the maximal ideal of A corresponding to the maximal ideal $\bar{\mathbf{m}}$ of B . The smooth complete intersection hypotheses implies that $(d_{A/K} f_1)_{\mathbf{m}}, \dots, (d_{A/K} f_r)_{\mathbf{m}}$ can be extended to a basis of $(\Omega_{A/K}^1)_{\mathbf{m}}$ as $A_{\mathbf{m}}$ -module. This implies that $\{(d_{A/K} f_i \otimes 1)_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}\}_{i=1}^r$ can be extended to a basis of $(\Omega_{A/K}^1 \otimes_A B)_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}$ as $B_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}$ -module. Since

$$(\Omega_{A/K}^k \otimes_A B)_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} \simeq \bigwedge^k (\Omega_{A/K}^1 \otimes_A B)_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}},$$

our k -form $\xi_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}$ can be written as a sum of k -fold exterior products of these basis elements multiplied by elements of $B_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}$. But then (3.6) implies that $(d_{A/K} f_i \otimes 1)_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}$ must appear in each of these k -fold exterior products, i. e.,

$$\xi_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} = (d_{A/K} f_1 \otimes 1)_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} \wedge \dots \wedge (d_{A/K} f_r \otimes 1)_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} \wedge \eta_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}$$

for some $\eta_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} \in (\Omega_{A/K}^{k-r} \otimes_A B)_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}$. Hence $\xi_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} \in \text{im } \Phi_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Proposition 3.4 implies that

$$H^{n-r}(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_{\bar{f}}) \simeq L^n / \tilde{\phi}_F(L^{n-1}).$$

But from the definition

$$L^n = \Omega_{A/K}^n \bigotimes_A B$$

and from Proposition 3.4

$$L^{n-1} = \Phi(\Omega_{A/K}^{n-r-1} \bigotimes_A B).$$

Theorem 1.2 now follows from equation (3.3) and the definition of Φ .

4. COMPLETION OF THE PROOF

To complete the proof, we show that the inclusion $L \hookrightarrow \Omega_{A/K} \otimes_A B[y]$ is a quasi-isomorphism. For this, it suffices to show that the corresponding map of associated graded complexes relative to the filtration F is a quasi-isomorphism. We write gr_d to denote these associated graded complexes.

It is easily seen that

$$\text{gr}_d(\Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y]) = \Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y]^{(d+k)},$$

where $B[y]^{(d)}$ denotes the B -module of homogeneous polynomials of degree d in y_1, \dots, y_r . Furthermore, the differential $\text{gr}(\tilde{\phi}_F)$ of this associated graded complex is given by

$$\text{gr}(\tilde{\phi}_F)(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^r (d_{A/K} f_i \otimes y_i) \wedge \xi$$

for $\xi \in \Omega_{A/K}^k \otimes_A B[y]^{(d+k)}$. It is also easy to see that F_\bullet induces the “stupid” filtration on L_\bullet , i. e.,

$$F_d L^k = \begin{cases} L^k & \text{if } d \geq -k, \\ 0 & \text{if } d < -k, \end{cases}$$

hence $\text{gr}_d(L_\bullet)$ is the complex with L^{-d} in degree $-d$ and zeros elsewhere if $-n \leq d \leq 0$ and is the zero complex otherwise. Thus the assertion that

$$\text{gr}_d(L_\bullet) \hookrightarrow \text{gr}_d(\Omega_{A/K}^\bullet \otimes_A B[y])$$

is a quasi-isomorphism is equivalent to the assertion that

$$0 \rightarrow L^{-d} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{-d} \otimes_A B[y]^{(0)} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{1-d} \otimes_A B[y]^{(1)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^n \otimes_A B[y]^{(d+n)} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $-n \leq d \leq 0$ and

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^0 \otimes_A B[y]^{(d)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^n \otimes_A B[y]^{(d+n)} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $d > 0$. The definition of L_\bullet shows that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L^{-d} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{-d} \otimes_A B[y]^{(0)} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{1-d} \otimes_A B[y]^{(1)}$$

is exact for $-n \leq d \leq 0$. Thus we need to show that the sequence

$$\Omega_{A/K}^{k-1} \otimes_A B[y]^{(d+k-1)} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^k \otimes_A B[y]^{(d+k)} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{k+1} \otimes_A B[y]^{(d+k+1)}$$

is exact whenever $d > -k$.

This can be summarized in the following result.

Proposition 4.1. $H^k(\Omega_{A/K}^\bullet \otimes_A B[y]^{(\cdot+d)}, \text{gr}(\tilde{\phi}_F)) = 0$ for $d > -k$.

Proof. The complex in question is a complex of A -modules supported on $\text{Spec}(B)$. Hence to prove the desired vanishing, we may first localize at a maximal ideal of A containing f_1, \dots, f_r . Thus we may assume that A is a smooth local K -algebra of dimension n whose maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} contains f_1, \dots, f_r and that $B = A/(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ is a smooth local K -algebra of dimension $n - r$. This implies that there exist $f_{r+1}, \dots, f_n \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $d_{A/K} f_1, \dots, d_{A/K} f_n$ form a basis for $\Omega_{A/K}^1$. To simplify notation, we write

$$\Omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} = (d_{A/K} f_{i_1} \otimes 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (d_{A/K} f_{i_k} \otimes 1) \in \Omega_{A/K}^k \otimes_A B[y].$$

Then $\Omega_{A/K}^k \otimes_A B[y]$ is a free $B[y]$ -module with basis

$$(4.2) \quad \{\Omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n\}$$

and is a free B -module with basis

$$(4.3) \quad \{y_1^{a_1} \cdots y_r^{a_r} \Omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} \mid a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbf{N}, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n\}.$$

The differential $\text{gr}(\tilde{\phi}_F)$ of the complex takes the form

$$\text{gr}(\tilde{\phi}_F)(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i \Omega_i \wedge \xi.$$

We proceed by induction on r . Suppose $r = 1$ and let $\xi \in \Omega_{A/K}^k \otimes_A B[y_1]^{(d+k)}$. The condition $d > -k$ implies that ξ is divisible by y_1 , i. e., ξ can be written

$$\xi = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} y_1 \xi(i_1, \dots, i_k) \Omega_{i_1 \dots i_k}$$

with $\xi(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in B[y_1]^{(d+k-1)}$. The condition that ξ be a cocycle is that

$$y_1 \Omega_1 \wedge \xi = 0.$$

Since (4.2) is a basis, we see that this is the case if and only if $\xi(i_1, \dots, i_k) \neq 0$ implies $i_1 = 1$. Put

$$\eta = \sum_{2 \leq i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \xi(1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \Omega_{i_2 \dots i_k}.$$

Then $\eta \in \Omega_{A/K}^{k-1} \otimes_A B[y_1]^{(d+k-1)}$ and

$$\xi = y_1 \Omega_1 \wedge \eta,$$

so ξ is a coboundary.

Now let $r \geq 2$ and suppose the proposition is true for $r - 1$. Let

$$\xi \in \Omega_{A/K}^k \bigotimes_A B[y]^{(d+k)}$$

be a cocycle, i. e.,

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r y_i \Omega_i \wedge \xi = 0.$$

Let h be the highest power of y_1 appearing in any term of ξ (in the decomposition corresponding to the basis (4.3)) and let $\xi^{(h)}$ be the sum of all terms of degree h in y_1 . Suppose $h > 0$. Looking at the terms of degree $h + 1$ in y_1 in equation (4.4) gives

$$\Omega_1 \wedge \xi^{(h)} = 0,$$

hence

$$\xi^{(h)} = \sum_{2 \leq i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} y_1 \eta(i_2, \dots, i_k) \Omega_{i_2 \dots i_k}$$

for some $\eta(i_2, \dots, i_k) \in B[y]^{(d+k-1)}$. Put

$$\eta = \sum_{2 \leq i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \eta(i_2, \dots, i_k) \Omega_{i_2 \dots i_k}.$$

Then $\eta \in \Omega_{A/K}^{k-1} \otimes_A B[y]^{(d+k-1)}$ and the highest power of y_1 appearing in

$$\xi - \sum_{i=1}^r y_i \Omega_i \wedge \eta$$

is $\leq h - 1$.

We may thus reduce to the case $h = 0$, i. e., y_1 does not appear in ξ . Equation (4.4) then implies the two equalities

$$(4.5) \quad \Omega_1 \wedge \xi = 0$$

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{i=2}^r y_i \Omega_i \wedge \xi = 0.$$

From (4.5) we have

$$\xi = \sum_{2 \leq i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \xi(i_2, \dots, i_k) \Omega_{1i_2 \dots i_k}$$

with $\xi(i_2, \dots, i_k) \in B[y_2, \dots, y_r]^{(d+k)}$. Put

$$\xi' = \sum_{2 \leq i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \xi(i_2, \dots, i_k) \Omega_{i_2 \dots i_k} \in \Omega_{A/K}^{k-1} \bigotimes_A B[y_2, \dots, y_r]^{(d+k)}.$$

By (4.6) we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^r y_i \Omega_i \wedge \xi' = 0,$$

i. e., ξ' is a $(k-1)$ -cocycle in the complex $(\Omega_{A/K} \bigotimes_A B[y_2, \dots, y_r]^{(\cdot+d+1)}, \bar{\phi})$, where

$$\bar{\phi}(\zeta) = \sum_{i=2}^r y_i \Omega_i \wedge \zeta.$$

But $d > -k$ implies $d+1 > -(k-1)$, so the induction hypothesis for $r-1$ applies and we conclude that ξ' is a coboundary. This means there exists

$$\eta' \in \Omega_{A/K}^{k-2} \bigotimes_A B[y_2, \dots, y_r]^{(d+k-1)}$$

such that

$$\sum_{i=2}^r y_i \Omega_i \wedge \eta' = \xi'.$$

If we put $\eta = -\Omega_1 \wedge \eta' \in \Omega_{A/K}^{k-1} \bigotimes_A B[y]^{(d+k-1)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r y_i \Omega_i \wedge \eta &= \Omega_1 \wedge \xi' \\ &= \xi, \end{aligned}$$

hence ξ is a coboundary.

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.5

Let $f, f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 1.5 and let $Y \subseteq \mathbf{A}^n$ be the variety $f_1 = \dots = f_r = 0$. We begin by showing that \bar{f} has only isolated critical points on Y . For notational convenience we write $K[x]$ for $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let $I \subseteq K[x]$ be the ideal generated by f_1, \dots, f_r and let $J \subseteq K[x]$ be the ideal generated by the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ -minors of the matrix (1.3). Put

$$Z = V(I + J) \subseteq \mathbf{A}^n.$$

The underlying point set of Z is the set of critical points of \bar{f} on Y . We wish to show it is finite. If not, then $\dim Z \geq 1$, so $\dim \tilde{Z} \geq 1$ also, where \tilde{Z} denotes the closure of Z in \mathbf{P}^n under the natural compactification by adjoining the hyperplane $x_0 = 0$ at infinity. This would imply that the intersection $\tilde{Z} \cap \{x_0 = 0\}$ is nonempty. We prove that in fact it is empty, therefore Z must be finite.

Consider the matrix

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \partial f_1^{(d_1)}/\partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f_1^{(d_1)}/\partial x_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \partial f_r^{(d_r)}/\partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f_r^{(d_r)}/\partial x_n \\ \partial f^{(d_{r+1})}/\partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f^{(d_{r+1})}/\partial x_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $I' \subseteq K[x]$ be the ideal generated by $f_1^{(d_1)}, \dots, f_r^{(d_r)}$ and let J' be the ideal generated by the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ -minors of the matrix (5.1). For a homogeneous ideal $Q \subseteq K[x]$, we denote by $V(Q) \subseteq \mathbf{A}^n$ the affine variety it defines and by $\tilde{V}(Q) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ the projective variety it defines. As point sets we have

$$\tilde{Z} \cap \{x_0 = 0\} = \tilde{V}(I' + J').$$

Suppose there exists a point $z \in \tilde{Z} \cap \{x_0 = 0\}$. By hypothesis, $f_1^{(d_1)} = \dots = f_r^{(d_r)} = 0$ defines a smooth complete intersection in \mathbf{P}^{n-1} , so at any set of homogeneous coordinates for the point z the first r rows of the matrix (5.1) are linearly independent. But since all $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ -minors of (5.1) vanish at any set of homogeneous coordinates for z , the last row must be a linear combination of the first r rows, say,

$$\left(\frac{\partial f^{(d_{r+1})}}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f^{(d_{r+1})}}{\partial x_n} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \left(\frac{\partial f_i^{(d_i)}}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_i^{(d_i)}}{\partial x_n} \right)$$

when evaluated at homogeneous coordinates for z , where the c_i lie in the algebraic closure of K . For $j = 1, \dots, n$, we then have

$$x_j \frac{\partial f^{(d_{r+1})}}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i x_j \frac{\partial f_i^{(d_i)}}{\partial x_j}$$

when evaluated at homogeneous coordinates for z . Summing these equations over j and using the Euler relation gives

$$d_{r+1} f^{(d_{r+1})} = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i d_i f_i^{(d_i)}$$

when evaluated at these homogeneous coordinates. Each $f_i^{(d_i)}$ vanishes at z and we are assuming $(d_{r+1}, \text{char}(K)) = 1$ if $\text{char}(K) > 0$, therefore $f^{(d_{r+1})}$ vanishes at z also. But this contradicts the hypothesis that $f^{(d_{r+1})} = f_1^{(d_1)} = \dots = f_r^{(d_r)} = 0$ defines a smooth complete intersection in \mathbf{P}^{n-1} .

To calculate $\dim_K H^{n-r}(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_{\bar{f}})$, we begin by recalling the definition of the Eagon-Northcott complex[2]. In order to facilitate application of the results of [2, 3], we describe these complexes homologically, rather than cohomologically. For $p = 0, 1, \dots, n-r$, let $C_p^{(1)}$ be the free $K[x]$ -module with the following basis. For $p = 0$, $C_0^{(1)} = K[x]$ with basis 1. For $p \geq 1$, $C_p^{(1)}$ has basis

$$\xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_{p+r}} \eta_1^{j_1} \cdots \eta_{r+1}^{j_{r+1}},$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{p+r} \leq n$ and j_1, \dots, j_{r+1} are nonnegative integers satisfying

$$j_1 + \dots + j_{r+1} = p - 1.$$

Thus $C_p^{(1)}$ has rank $\binom{n}{p+r} \binom{p+r-1}{r}$. Let $\delta_1 : C_p^{(1)} \rightarrow C_{p-1}^{(1)}$ be the $K[x]$ -module homomorphism whose action on basis elements is defined as follows. For $p = 1$,

$$\delta_1(\xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_{r+1}}) = \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_r, f)}{\partial(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{r+1}})}.$$

For $p > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(\xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_{p+r}} \eta_1^{j_1} \cdots \eta_{r+1}^{j_{r+1}}) = \\ \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ j_l > 0}}^{r+1} \sum_{m=1}^{p+r} (-1)^{m-1} \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial x_{i_m}} \xi_{i_1} \cdots \hat{\xi}_{i_m} \cdots \xi_{i_{p+r}} \eta_1^{j_1} \cdots \eta_l^{j_l-1} \cdots \eta_{r+1}^{j_{r+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the Eagon-Northcott complex associated to the matrix (1.3).

We define a grading and filtration on $C_p^{(1)}$ as follows. Let $K[x]$ have the usual grading and define

$$\text{degree}(\xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_{p+r}} \eta_1^{j_1} \cdots \eta_{r+1}^{j_{r+1}}) = (j_1 + 1)d_1 + \cdots + (j_{r+1} + 1)d_{r+1} - (p + r).$$

This defines a grading on $C_p^{(1)}$ which in turn defines a filtration on $C_p^{(1)}$ by letting level k of the filtration be the K -span of homogeneous elements of degree $\leq k$. It is straightforward to check that δ_1 preserves this filtration. We let $(\bar{C}^{(1)}, \bar{\delta}_1)$ be the associated graded complex. It is easily checked that $(\bar{C}^{(1)}, \bar{\delta}_1)$ is the Eagon-Northcott complex associated to the matrix (5.1).

Proposition 5.2. *For $p > 0$,*

$$H_p(\bar{C}^{(1)}, \bar{\delta}_1) = 0$$

and the Hilbert-Poincaré series of the graded module $H_0(\bar{C}^{(1)}, \bar{\delta}_1)$ has the form

$$\frac{G(t)(1-t)^{n-r} + H(t)(1-t)^{n-r+1}}{(1-t)^n},$$

where $G(t), H(t)$ are polynomials and $G(1)$ equals the coefficient of t^{n-r} in the power series expansion at $t = 0$ of

$$\frac{(1-t)^n}{\prod_{i=1}^{r+1} (1-d_i t)}.$$

Proof. We show that the ideal J' has depth $n - r$. The vanishing of $H_p(\bar{C}^{(1)}, \bar{\delta}_1)$ for $p > 0$ then follows from [2, Theorem 1] and the assertion about the Hilbert-Poincaré series of $H_0(\bar{C}^{(1)}, \bar{\delta}_1)$ follows from Theorems 1, 2, and the Lemma in [3]. (Note that, in the notation of [3], we take $\mu_i = d_i$, $\nu_j = -1$, so that the entry in row i , column j of the matrix (5.1) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $\mu_i + \nu_j = d_i - 1$.) In fact, it is shown in [2] that the depth of J' is $\leq n - r$, so we only need to show that the depth is $\geq n - r$.

Since the depth and the height of J' are equal, it suffices to show that the height of J' is $\geq n - r$. We proved at the beginning of this section that $\tilde{V}(I' + J')$ is empty. But this says that $\tilde{V}(I')$ and $\tilde{V}(J')$ have empty intersection. By hypothesis, $\tilde{V}(I')$ is a smooth complete intersection, purely of dimension $n - 1 - r$, hence all components of $\tilde{V}(J')$ must have dimension $< r$. This implies that all components of $V(J')$ in \mathbf{A}^n have dimension $\leq r$, i. e., have codimension $\geq n - r$. This is equivalent to the assertion that the height of J' is $\geq n - r$.

Put $B' = K[x]/I'$. We consider the related complex $(\bar{C}^{(1)} \otimes_{K[x]} B', \bar{\delta}_1 \otimes 1)$.

Proposition 5.3. *For $p > 0$,*

$$H_p \left(\bar{C}^{(1)} \otimes_{K[x]} B', \bar{\delta}_1 \otimes 1 \right) = 0.$$

Proof. The complex $\bar{C}^{(1)} \otimes_{K[x]} B'$ is the Eagon-Northcott complex of the image of the matrix (5.1) in B' . So by the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 5.2, it suffices to show that the ideal $(J' + I')/I'$ in B' has depth $\geq n - r$. Since $f_1^{(d_1)}, \dots, f_r^{(d_r)}$ is a regular sequence, the ring B' is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore the height and the depth of $(J' + I')/I'$ are equal, so we are again reduced to showing that the height of $(J' + I')/I'$ is $\geq n - r$. Let \mathbf{m} denote the ideal (x_1, \dots, x_n) of $K[x]$. Since $\bar{V}(I' + J') = \emptyset$, the only prime ideal of B' containing $(J' + I')/I'$ is \mathbf{m}/I' . So it suffices to show that \mathbf{m}/I' has height $\geq n - r$. Let \mathbf{p} be a minimal prime ideal of $K[x]$ containing I' . Since $\bar{V}(I')$ is purely of codimension r in \mathbf{P}^{n-1} , \mathbf{p} has height r . Therefore every saturated chain of prime ideals from \mathbf{p} to \mathbf{m} has length $n - r$. It follows that \mathbf{m}/I' has height $n - r$ in B' .

Let $(C^{(2)}, \delta_2)$ be the Koszul complex on $K[x]$ defined by f_1, \dots, f_r . We regard $C_q^{(2)}$ as the free $K[x]$ -module with basis

$$\zeta_{k_1} \cdots \zeta_{k_q},$$

where $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_q \leq r$, and $\delta_2 : C_q^{(2)} \rightarrow C_{q-1}^{(2)}$ is defined by

$$\delta_2(\zeta_{k_1} \cdots \zeta_{k_q}) = \sum_{l=1}^q (-1)^{l-1} f_{k_l} \zeta_{k_1} \cdots \hat{\zeta}_{k_l} \cdots \zeta_{k_q}.$$

Each $C_q^{(2)}$ is graded by using the usual grading on $K[x]$ and by defining the degree of $\zeta_{k_1} \cdots \zeta_{k_q}$ to be $d_{k_1} + \cdots + d_{k_q}$. The complex $(C^{(2)}, \delta_2)$ becomes a filtered complex by defining level k of the filtration to be the K -span of homogeneous elements of degree $\leq k$. Its associated graded complex $(\bar{C}^{(2)}, \bar{\delta}_2)$ is the Koszul complex on $K[x]$ defined by $f_1^{(d_1)}, \dots, f_r^{(d_r)}$.

Consider the double complex $C^{(1)} \otimes_{K[x]} C^{(2)}$ and let T be its associated total complex. The filtrations on $C^{(1)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ make T a filtered complex. Its associated graded complex \bar{T} is the total complex of the double complex $\bar{C}^{(1)} \otimes_{K[x]} \bar{C}^{(2)}$. One checks easily from the definitions that

$$(5.4) \quad H_0(T) = K[x]/(I + J),$$

$$(5.5) \quad H_0(\bar{T}) = K[x]/(I' + J').$$

By (5.4) and Corollary 1.4,

$$(5.6) \quad H_0(T) \simeq H^{n-r}(\Omega_{B/K}, \phi_{\bar{f}}).$$

We determine the relation between $H_0(T)$ and $H_0(\bar{T})$.

Since $f_1^{(d_1)}, \dots, f_r^{(d_r)}$ is a regular sequence in $K[x]$,

$$H_p(\bar{C}^{(2)}) = 0 \quad \text{for } p > 0.$$

Furthermore, $H_0(\bar{C}^{(2)}) \simeq B'$. This implies by standard homological algebra that

$$H_p(\bar{T}.) \simeq H_p\left(\bar{C}^{(1)} \bigotimes_{K[x]} B'\right),$$

hence by Proposition 5.3

$$(5.7) \quad H_p(\bar{T}.) = 0 \quad \text{for } p > 0.$$

Standard homological algebra then implies that

$$H_p(T.) = 0 \quad \text{for } p > 0$$

and that

$$(5.8) \quad \text{gr}(H_0(T.)) \simeq H_0(\bar{T}.)$$

as K -vector spaces, where the left-hand side denotes the associated graded relative to the filtration induced by T . on $H_0(T.)$. In particular, (5.6) implies that

$$(5.9) \quad \dim_K H^{n-r}(\Omega_{B/K}^r, \phi_{\bar{f}}) = \dim_K H_0(\bar{T}.)$$

Proposition 5.2 and standard homological algebra imply that

$$(5.10) \quad H_p(\bar{T}.) \simeq H_p\left(H_0(\bar{C}^{(1)}) \bigotimes_{K[x]} \bar{C}^{(2)}\right).$$

Thus by (5.7), $H_0(\bar{C}^{(1)}) \bigotimes_{K[x]} \bar{C}^{(2)}$ is a resolution of

$$\begin{aligned} H_0\left(H_0(\bar{C}^{(1)}) \bigotimes_{K[x]} \bar{C}^{(2)}\right) &\simeq H_0(\bar{C}^{(1)}) \bigotimes_{K[x]} H_0(\bar{C}^{(2)}) \\ &\simeq H_0(\bar{C}^{(1)}) \bigotimes_{K[x]} B'. \end{aligned}$$

But $H_0(\bar{C}^{(1)}) \bigotimes_{K[x]} \bar{C}^{(2)}$ is just the Koszul complex on $H_0(\bar{C}^{(1)})$ defined by $f_1^{(d_1)}, \dots, f_r^{(d_r)}$. It then follows from Proposition 5.2 that the Hilbert-Poincaré series of $H_0(\bar{C}^{(1)}) \bigotimes_{K[x]} B'$ is

$$(5.11) \quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^r (1 - t^{d_i})\right) \frac{G(t)(1 - t)^{n-r} + H(t)(1 - t)^{n-r+1}}{(1 - t)^n}.$$

By (5.7) and (5.10), this is the Hilbert-Poincaré series of $H_0(\bar{T}.)$, hence

$$\dim_K H_0(\bar{T}.) = d_1 \cdots d_r G(1).$$

By Proposition 5.2, this proves that $\dim_K H_0(\bar{T}.)$ equals the coefficient of t^{n-r} in the power series expansion at $t = 0$ of

$$\frac{d_1 \cdots d_r (1 - t)^n}{\prod_{i=1}^{r+1} (1 - d_i t)}.$$

Proposition 1.5 then follows from (5.9).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, Dwork cohomology, de Rham cohomology, and hypergeometric functions, Amer. J. Math. (to appear)
- [2] J. A. Eagon and D. G. Northcott, Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **269**(1962), 188–204
- [3] ———, A note on the Hilbert functions of certain ideals which are defined by matrices, Mathematika **9**(1962), 118–126
- [4] N. Katz, Sommes exponentielles, Astérisque **79**(1980), 1–209
- [5] H. Matsumura, Commutative ring theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **8**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986
- [6] K. Saito, On a generalization of de Rham lemma, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **26**(1976), 165–170

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078
E-mail address: `adolphs@math.okstate.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455
E-mail address: `sperber@math.umn.edu`